Interview Summary	09/095,385	MORRISON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mary K Zeman	1631
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Mary K Zeman (PTO).	(3)	
(2) Karen Canady (Appl Rep).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5/18/00 & 5/19/00</u> .		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representative	/e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-15</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: Hein et al		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached	. g)⊠ was not reached. h)	□ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: The examiner advised cells that the case would be in condition for allowance. The reference. Applicant declined to so amend the claims. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amenallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a schecked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FO MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ON STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW reverse side or on attached sheet.	Applicant that if the claims well his statement was made in view and ments which the examiner accopy of the amendments that ed.) separate record of the substant was made in view and ments that ed.) RMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO TW. (See MPEP Section 713.04) E MONTH FROM THIS INTER	re limited to exclude plant w of the new Hein et al. greed would render the claims would render the claims ce of the interview(if box is THE LAST OFFICE ACTION 4). If a reply to the last Office RVIEW DATE TO FILE A
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an		
Attachment to a signed Office action	Examiner's sid	nature, if required

Application No.

Applicant(s)